



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 12, Issue 8, August 2023

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

ijirset@gmail.com

www.ijirset.com

Quality of Work Life and Job Satisfaction among IT Professionals: An Empirical Study

Dr. D. Kishore Kumar, Sreedhar Reddy

Associate Professor, Dept. of MBA, CMRTC, Hyderabad, India

Assistant Professor, Dept. of MBA, CMRTC, Hyderabad, India

ABSTRACT: The Information Technology (IT) sector acts as a significant growth catalyst for the Indian economy, contributing substantially to GDP, urban employment, and exports. However, this rapid growth has introduced challenges such as extended work hours, work-life conflict, and high burnout rates, making employee retention a critical issue. This study investigates the Quality of Work Life (QWL) and its impact on Job Satisfaction among IT professionals in Hyderabad, Telangana.

Using a descriptive research design, the study surveys 1,056 IT professionals from five major companies: Cyient, Persistent, 3i Infotech, Zensar, and Cybage. The research utilizes a structured questionnaire based on Walton's QWL model and a standard Job Satisfaction scale. The study examines the influence of demographic variables—such as age, gender, marital status, and experience—on both QWL and Job Satisfaction.

Data analysis performed using SPSS 17.0 includes Cronbach's alpha for reliability, ANOVA, t-tests, correlation, and multiple regression analysis. The findings reveal a significant positive correlation ($r=0.886$) between QWL and Job Satisfaction. Specifically, dimensions such as "Opportunity for Growth and Security" and "Social Integration" were found to be strong predictors of job satisfaction. The study concludes that improving specific QWL factors can significantly enhance job satisfaction, thereby potentially reducing turnover and improving organizational productivity.

KEYWORDS: Quality of Work Life (QWL), Job Satisfaction, Information Technology (IT) Industry, Organizational Behavior.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary global scenario, the Information Technology (IT) industry is pivotal to India's economic resilience and progress. The sector has evolved from a process-driven industry to one providing transformational solutions, necessitating a highly skilled and motivated workforce. Human resources are considered the backbone of this industry; however, the nature of the work often involves high pressure, impractical deadlines, and extended schedules. These working conditions have led to issues such as job stress, lack of work-life balance, and high employee turnover, which threatens the sustainability of employment in the sector.

Quality of Work Life (QWL) QWL is a multidimensional concept defined as the level to which working people feel relaxed, happy, and inspired in their work life. It encompasses the fulfillment of basic personal requirements through employment and includes factors such as fair pay, safe working conditions, opportunities for growth, social integration, and constitutionalism in the work organization. Richard E. Walton (1975) emphasized that regardless of position, every employee is affected by dissatisfaction with work life, which can lead to boredom, frustration, and alienation. Consequently, organizations are increasingly focusing on QWL to increase employee motivation and operational output.

Job Satisfaction Job satisfaction refers to the optimistic emotional state or pleasurable feeling resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences. It reflects how well an employee's expectations regarding compensation, promotion, recognition, and development are met. In the IT context, where intellectual capital is crucial, ensuring job satisfaction is vital for fostering organizational loyalty and reducing the intent to quit.

The relationship between QWL and Job Satisfaction is critical. While they were once perceived as identical, modern management theory distinguishes them, positing that QWL is an antecedent to Job Satisfaction. High QWL leads to higher job satisfaction, which in turn correlates with better organizational performance, reduced absenteeism, and lower

turnover. This study aims to explore these dynamics specifically within the IT services sector in Hyderabad, a major IT hub in India.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The theoretical framework for this study is grounded in behavioral science and management theories that prioritize the human element in organizations. Early management approaches, such as Taylor's Scientific Management, were criticized for treating humans mechanistically. The shift toward human relations began with the Hawthorne Experiments, which highlighted the importance of socio-psychological factors at the workplace.

The evolution of organizational behavior literature reveals a distinct shift from mechanical management approaches to a focus on human relations and the quality of the work environment. The foundation for this shift was laid by Elton Mayo's Hawthorne Experiments (1927-1932), which systematically demonstrated that socio-psychological factors and human elements at the workplace were critical determinants of productivity, moving beyond the limitations of Taylor's Scientific Management. Building on this human-centric view, Frederick Herzberg (1959) introduced the Two-Factor Theory, distinguishing between "hygiene factors" like salary and working conditions that prevent dissatisfaction, and "motivators" like recognition and responsibility that actively drive satisfaction. Concurrently, Locke (1976) defined job satisfaction as a pleasurable emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job, further elaborating in his Value Theory that satisfaction arises when employees receive outcomes they value, such as specific job aspects or rewards.

A significant portion of the literature focuses on defining and measuring the Quality of Work Life (QWL). Richard E. Walton (1974) provided a comprehensive theoretical framework that remains a standard in the field, identifying eight core variables including adequate compensation, safe working conditions, opportunities for growth, and social integration. Walton emphasized that dissatisfaction with work life affects employees regardless of their status, necessitating a holistic approach to employee well-being. Expanding on this, Thomas Wyatt and Chat Yue Wah (2001) studied managerial perceptions of QWL, using factor analysis to identify four key dimensions: nature of the job, favorable work environment, personal growth, and stimulating opportunities, reinforcing the multi-dimensional nature of the concept.

The interaction between job characteristics and employee satisfaction has also been extensively researched. Hackman and Oldham (1975) developed the Job Characteristics Model, proposing that five core job traits—skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback—lead to critical psychological states that drive motivation and satisfaction. Currall et al. (2005) highlighted that modern employees, particularly talented and qualified ones, actively seek better work environments that enable them to contribute effectively to organizational goals, linking resource availability to performance.

Empirical studies have consistently linked QWL initiatives to broader organizational outcomes. Chan and Einstein (1990) observed that employees perceive QWL as a method for job enrichment and high participation, which directly boosts job satisfaction and workforce yield. Similarly, Normala and Daud (2010) investigated Malaysian firms and found that QWL significantly impacts not just job satisfaction but also organizational commitment, influenced by factors such as supervision and growth opportunities. H.L. Kaila (2011) further categorized the specific determinants of satisfaction into proper technology, environment, individual behavior, wages, and schedules, noting that these factors lead to high internal work motivation and reduced stress and turnover. Collectively, these studies establish QWL as a critical antecedent to job satisfaction and a vital component of organizational success.

Empirical Studies Research by Chan and Einstein (1990) indicates that employees perceive QWL as a method for job enrichment and high participation, which boosts job satisfaction. Similarly, Normala and Daud (2010) found that QWL has a major impact on organizational commitment and job satisfaction among employees in Malaysian firms. In the IT sector specifically, studies have shown that extended work demands and high burnout indices are prevalent, making QWL interventions essential for retaining talent.

The literature suggests that QWL is a broad concept covering the employee's feelings about every dimension of their job, including financial rewards, security, and interpersonal relationships. It acts as a predictor for job satisfaction, which is further influenced by demographic variables such as age, experience, and designation. The consensus in the literature is that a high quality of work life is essential for the health of both the employee and the organization.

Theoretical Foundations

- **Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs:** This model suggests that employees are motivated by a hierarchy of needs, ranging from physiological safety to self-actualization. QWL models often align with this hierarchy, where fair compensation meets basic needs, and opportunities for growth address self-actualization needs. * **Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory:** Herzberg distinguished between "hygiene factors" (e.g., salary, working conditions), which prevent dissatisfaction, and "motivators" (e.g., recognition, achievement), which create satisfaction.
- **Walton's QWL Model:** Richard Walton (1974) provided a comprehensive framework for QWL, identifying eight key categories: adequate and fair compensation, safe and healthy working conditions, opportunity for use of human capacities, opportunity for growth and security, social integration, constitutionalism, work and total life space, and social relevance of work life.

III. RESEARCH PROBLEM

The IT sector is a major employer but suffers from high employee turnover compared to other industries. Factors contributing to this include job stress, lack of challenge, difficult policies, conflict between management and employees, and difficulty balancing family and work life. These issues stem from both intrinsic and extrinsic job aspects. There is a need to investigate how Quality of Work Life (QWL) factors specifically influence Job Satisfaction in this high-pressure environment to create sustainable employment conditions and improve organizational productivity.

IV. OBJECTIVES

1. To study the perception of employees on the variables of Quality of Work Life (QWL) in select IT companies.
2. To study the impact of Quality of Work Life (QWL) on Job Satisfaction in the IT industry.

V. HYPOTHESES

1. **H01:** There is no impact of demographic variables (Age, Gender, Marital status, Education, Designation, Salary/Income, and Experience) on the QWL of IT professionals.
2. **H03:** There is no significant association between Quality of Work Life and Job Satisfaction.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

6.1. Research Design and Sampling The study employs a descriptive research design to describe the existing phenomenon of QWL and Job Satisfaction. The universe of the study comprises IT service organizations in Hyderabad that are NASSCOM members with at least 10 years of service and over 5,000 employees.

- **Sample Size:** A sample of 1,056 IT professionals was selected using a simple random sampling technique.
- **Companies Surveyed:** The sample included employees from Cyient (288), Persistent (240), 3i Infotech (192), Zensar (192), and Cybage (144).
- **Respondents:** The respondents were professionals with more than two years of experience, including Team Members, Team Leaders, and Project Managers.

6.2. Instruments and Data Collection Primary data was collected between October 2015 and September 2016 using a self-administered structured questionnaire.

- **QWL Questionnaire:** Based on Richard E. Walton's (1974) standard instrument, covering 8 dimensions.
- **Job Satisfaction Questionnaire:** Adapted from K. Chelladurai (2012), covering 7 sub-variables.
- **Reliability:** The internal consistency was confirmed using Cronbach's alpha, yielding 0.852 for QWL and 0.802 for Job Satisfaction.

6.3. Statistical Analysis Data was analyzed using SPSS version 17.0. Statistical tools included Descriptive Statistics (Mean, Standard Deviation), Independent Sample t-tests, ANOVA (Analysis of Variance), Pearson Correlation, and Multiple Regression Analysis.

VII. DATA ANALYSIS

The analysis focused on testing the reliability of the data, describing the demographic profile, and testing the hypotheses regarding the relationship between demographics, QWL, and Job Satisfaction.

7.1. Reliability and Validity The intrinsic validity was calculated as the square root of reliability. For QWL, intrinsic validity was 0.923, and for Job Satisfaction, it was 0.895, indicating the instrument was valid.

7.2. Demographic Analysis

- **Age:** The majority of the sample (54%) was in the 30-35 years age group.
- **Gender:** 59.1% of the sample were male.
- **Marital Status:** 59.1% of the respondents were married.
- **Designation:** Team Leaders constituted the largest group (50%).
- **Experience:** 50% of the respondents had 4-6 years of experience.

7.3. Hypothesis Testing (H01 - Demographics & QWL)

- **Age:** ANOVA results ($F=36.312$, $p<0.05$) indicated a significant impact of age on QWL, though the correlation was weak ($r=0.182$). The null hypothesis was rejected.
- **Gender:** A t-test showed significant differences ($p<0.05$), rejecting the null hypothesis. Males generally reported higher QWL scores.
- **Experience:** ANOVA results ($F=202.674$, $p<0.05$) showed a significant impact. Experience had a moderate positive correlation ($r=0.527$) and explained 27.8% of the variance in QWL ($R^2=0.278$).

7.4. Hypothesis Testing (H03 - Association between QWL and JS) Pearson correlation analysis revealed a strong positive correlation ($r=0.886$) between QWL and Job Satisfaction. The regression model ($R^2=0.786$) indicated that QWL variables explain approximately 78.6% of the variation in Job Satisfaction. The ANOVA result ($F=3836$, $p<0.05$) confirmed the model's significance.

7.5. Regression of Sub-variables Multiple regression analysis identified specific QWL sub-variables as strong predictors of Job Satisfaction. "Opportunity for Growth and Security" (0.493) and "Social Integration" (0.737) showed high positive correlations.

VIII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study established that demographic variables serve as moderators in the relationship between QWL and Job Satisfaction.

- **Experience is Key:** Among demographic variables, experience had the most significant impact on both QWL ($R^2=0.278$) and Job Satisfaction ($R^2=0.239$). Employees with 6-8 years of experience reported higher QWL and satisfaction.
- **Gender Differences:** While gender influenced QWL perceptions (with males scoring higher), it did not have a significant impact on Job Satisfaction, suggesting that while men and women may perceive their work environment differently, their satisfaction with the job itself remains comparable.
- **Strong Linkage:** The hypothesis that QWL influences Job Satisfaction was strongly supported. The study found that 78.6% of the variation in job satisfaction is determined by QWL factors.
- **Critical Factors:** The analysis highlights that "Opportunity for Growth and Security" and "Social Integration" are critical determinants. This suggests that IT professionals prioritize career advancement, job security, and a supportive social environment over simple financial compensation, which showed a weaker correlation.

The study concludes that QWL is a significant antecedent of Job Satisfaction in the IT industry. To reduce turnover and improve productivity, IT companies should focus on specific QWL interventions such as clear career paths, job security, and fostering a collaborative team environment.



REFERENCES

1. Bagtasos, M.R. (2011). Quality of work life: A review of literature. *DLSU Business & Economics Review*, 20(2), 1-8.
2. Chan, & Einstein. (1990). Quality of Work Life. *Journal of Job Enrichment*. (As cited in text).
3. Chelladurai, K. (2012). Job Satisfaction Questionnaire.
4. Currall, et al. (2005). Employers demand for talented employees. (As cited in text).
5. Goudarzvand Chegini, M., & Mirdozande, G. (2010). Quality of Work Life.
6. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1975). Development of job diagnostic survey. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60, 159-170.
7. Hatam, N., Farid, & Kavosi. (2013). Impact of manager interaction on employee behavior.
8. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman. (1959). *The motivation to work*. New York: Wiley.
9. Kaila, H. L. (2007). *Organisational behaviour and HRM* (2nd edn.). New Delhi: AITBS Publishers.
10. Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities.
11. Locke, E.A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M.D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology*.
12. Mayo, E. (1960). *The Human Problems of an Industrial Civilisation*. New York: Viking Press.
13. Normala, & Daud. (2010). Investigating the relationship between QWL and Organizational dedication. (As cited in text).
14. Tabassum, A., Rahma, K., & Jahan, K. (2011). Quality of Work Life and Job Satisfaction.
15. Walton, R. E. (1974). Improving the Quality of Work Life. *Harvard Business Review*.
16. Walton, R.E. (1975). Criteria for Quality of Working Life.
17. Wyatt, T., & Wah, C. Y. (2001). Perception of managerial executives towards QWL. (As cited in text).



INNO SPACE
SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor
Impact Factor: 8.423



ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 **9940 572 462**  **6381 907 438**  **ijirset@gmail.com**



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details